

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/922,507 08/03/2001 Kwang-Bo Cho 08305-101001 8845 EXAMINER 7590 10/22/2004 Micron Technology c/o Tom D'Amico NGUYEN, LUONG TRUNG Dickstein, Shapiro, Moran & Oshinsky ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2101 L Street NW Washington, DC 20037-1526 2612

DATE MAILED: 10/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No. Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/922,507	CHO, KWANG-B	CHO, KWANG-BO	
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		LUONG T NGUYEN	2612		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1)					
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 August 2001</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		ew Summary (PTO-413)		
3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date		No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

In the Abstract (page 18, line 14), "is obtained" should be changed to --is obtained.--.

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1, 4-7, 12-15, 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Fossum et al. (US 6,137,100).

Regarding claims 13, 21,12, 5, Fossum et al. discloses a CMOS color pixel assembly (CMOS image sensor, Column 2, Lines 5-12), comprising a plurality of macro pixels (macro pixel, Column 2, Lines 5-30), each macro pixel of the plurality of macro pixels, comprising at least three color pixel elements (Red, Blue, Green, Figure 1B, Column 2, Lines 31-59), each color pixel element including a photoreceptor (photodiode, column 3, Lines 22-51) having a device geometry (photodiode size), responsive to receiving light, to generate an output signal indicative of an amount of light photons received (Column 3, Lines 22-61); a first one of the

Art Unit: 2612

color pixel elements, to receive a first color (red color, Figure 1B), the photoreceptor of the first one of the color pixel elements having a first geometry and a responsivity to light that is a function of the first geometry of the photoreceptor such that the responsivity of the output signal of the photoreceptor to the first color is controllable by changing the first geometry (the collection efficiently is proportional to the size of the collection area, Column 3, Lines 22-51); a second one of the color pixel elements to receive a second color (blue color, Figure 1B) different than the first color, the photoreceptor of the second one of the color pixel elements having a second geometry and a responsivity to light that is a function of the second geometry such that the responsivity of the output signal of the photoreceptor to the second color is controllable by changing the second geometry (the collection efficiently is proportional to the size of the collection area, Column 3, Lines 22-51); and a third one of the color pixel elements, to receive a third color (green color, Figure 1B) different than the first color and the second color, the photoreceptor of the third one of the color pixel elements having a third geometry and a responsivity to light that is a function of the third geometry of the photoreceptor such that the responsivity of the output signal of the photoreceptor to the third color is controllable by changing the third geometry (the collection efficiently is proportional to the size of the collection area, Column 3, Lines 22-51).

Regarding claims 6, 14, 22, Fossum et al. discloses the first geometry, the second geometry, and the third geometry are selected such that the responsivity of the output signal of the first one of the color pixel elements to the first color, and the responsivity of the output signal of the second one of the color pixel elements to the second color, and the responsivity of the

Art Unit: 2612

output signal of the third one of the color pixel elements to the third color is a predetermined ratio (ratio 2.5 Vb:1.5 Vr:1.0Vg (column 1, Lines 52-57).

As for claim 1, all the limitations are contained in claims 13-14, therefore, see Examiner's comments regarding claims 13-14.

Regarding claim 4, Fossum et al. discloses the predetermined ratio is about 1:1 (Figure 1A).

Regarding claims 7, 15, 23, Fossum et al. discloses the predetermined ratio is about 1:1:1 (Figure 1A).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2-3, 16, 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fossum et al. (US 6,137,100) in view of McDaniel et al. (US 6,040,592).

Regarding claims 2, 16, 24, Fossum et al. fails to specifically disclose the photoreceptor of each color pixel element is selected from the group consisting of n-wells, n+ diffusion, p-wells, p+ diffusion, and photogates. However, McDaniel et al. teaches that a photodiode is

Art Unit: 2612

created between ground, a common node having electrical contact with the substrate, and the diffusion 209, the diffusion 209 is doped as an N+ diffusion region (Column 3, Lines 29-35). Therefore, it would have obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device in Fossum et al. by the teaching of McDaniel et al. in order to make ohmic contact to the well (column 3, Lines 33-35).

Regarding claim 3, Fossum et al. fails to specifically disclose the photoreceptor of each color pixel element is an n+ diffusion. However, McDaniel et al. teaches that a photodiode is created between ground, a common node having electrical contact with the substrate, and the diffusion 209, the diffusion 209 is doped as an N+ diffusion region (Column 3, Lines 29-35). Therefore, it would have obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device in Fossum et al. by the teaching of McDaniel et al. in order to make ohmic contact to the well (column 3, Lines 33-35).

6. Claims 8-9, 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fossum et al. (US 6,137,100) in view of Fossum et al. (US 5,949,483).

Regarding claims 8, 17, Fossum et al. ('100) fails to specifically disclose a microlens photonically coupled to at least one of the color pixel elements. However, Fossum et al. ('483) discloses an active pixel sensor array, in which each pixel corresponding to red filter 600, blue filter 604, green filter 610 is covered by microlenses 115A, 115B, 115C (Figure 5A, Column 7, Line 58 – Column 8, Line 13). Therefore, it would have obvious to one of ordinary skill in the

Art Unit: 2612

art at the time the invention was made to modify the device in Fossum et al. ('100) by the teaching of Fossum et al. ('483) in order to focus incoming light onto pixel.

Regarding claims 9, 18, Fossum et al. ('100) fails to specifically disclose corresponding microlens photonically coupled to each of the color pixel elements. However, Fossum et al. ('483) discloses an active pixel sensor array, in which each pixel corresponding to red filter 600, blue filter 604, green filter 610 is covered by microlenses 115A, 115B, 115C (Figure 5A, Column 7, Line 58 – Column 8, Line 13). Therefore, it would have obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device in Fossum et al. ('100) by the teaching of Fossum et al. ('483) in order to focus incoming light onto pixel.

7. Claims 10-11, 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fossum et al. (US 6,137,100) in view of Perregaux et al. (US 5,119,181).

Regarding claims 10-11, 19-20, Fossum et al. fails to specifically disclose at least one of the color pixel elements further comprises at least one switch coupled to the photoreceptor to vary the device geometry. However, Perregeaux et al. discloses a color array, in which photodiode shape can be altered to change the spatial sensitivity of the individual photodiodes if required (Column 5, Lines 60-62). Therefore, it would have obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device in Fossum et al. by the teaching of Perregaux et al. in order to change the spatial sensitivity of the individual photodiodes if required (Column 5, Lines 60-62).

Art Unit: 2612

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Chou (US 6,252,218) discloses amorphous silicon active pixel sensor with rectangular readout layer in a hexagonal grid layout.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LUONG T NGUYEN whose telephone number is (703) 308-9297. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wendy Garber can be reached on (703) 305-4929. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LN LN 10/16/04

WENDY RIGARBER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
SUPERVISORY CENTER 2600